App 3 of 24-25

App.F.] X-4]

1924.

File No. 57-24 ~~106-24~~

(Miscellaneous)

Previous References.

Misc 115 D 1-1-7
to 78-Pgs

Serial Nos. 1—17

Later references.

Misc 285-27-5
Misc Pgs 74-31
to 1-3-31-2

(3)

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 12

Part B for September 1925

SUBJECT

Telegram from one Haseemantha char of Chamrajpet complaining that the Puja in the Ranga Devara temple at Muddenahalli has been stopped and God have been passed by the Dy. Comr. Chitradurga to perform a Puja by the Non-Brahmin Archak

RB 321 Paper
14.9.25

To the Misc. Com

Govt. see no reason to interfere with the
in the matter

G. R. S.—III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

Mang. 106-24-1.

D. 105.

Memo. No. 298 d. 21-7-24 from the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

7 ds. pm. dis. 19-7-24 pm. chan of Uhem.

signum d. tammantha addressed to

His Highness complaining that Puja performed by Brahmins in the Sri Prasanna Ramana temple at Muddenahalli, Channagiri Tk. has been stopped by the D.C. Tumkur, Shimoga Dt., and orders issued for the performance of Puja by a Non-Brahmin Archak, and soliciting orders in the matter.

Linked with a draft O. m. forwarding the telegram

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

To the M. A. for disposal as suggested by Early enquiry Report if necessary and an invite to the petitioner. Ed

MM. 23/7/24.

22.7.24.

V. Secretariat Department

25.7.24.

705/267 2nd member)

by telegram ~~for~~ may be sent to Secretariat Department

on original

accordingly

25/7/24

27/7

M. 2. To the Municipal Commissioner. No. 289 dt. 1.8.24. for the above telegram for disposal.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gumed, or slips pasted on this form

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 57 of 1924.

Serial No.

To

The Muz. Commr.

O. M. no. 189 Dy 1.8.24.

Forward the telegram for disposal

From, The Private Secretary to H H The Maharaja.

O M. no. 400 Dy 31.7.24

Forward for disposal the memorial from Gopala Bhatta and other Brahmin residents of Mudenahalli on the subject.

4 The memorialists complain that in spite of the protest of Dharmadarsis and the Devakes, and also contrary to the previous orders of Govt., the Dy Commr has ordered the removal of the Brahman Pujaru

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 57 of 1924.

Serial No.

and the appointment of Samerayas to worship the Mulavaru of Muddenahalli Sri Rama Devaru, and pray that Punification ceremonies may be conducted, and Brahmin worship revives as per Comp. established manual.

5 The petrs have produced an order from wherein the Muz. Comr has directed them to appeal to Govt against the Dy Comrs. order.

6 As the memorial is an appeal against the Dy. Comrs order, may a report be called for?

Submitted for orders.

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 57 new of 1924.

Serial No.

7 If it is to be treated as an appeal, it must be properly stamped. Give Endt as to appeal

5/8/24.

8 Endt Submit.

AG 6/8

6/8/24

Issue

11/8/24

2 | No 226/Enquiry dt 18-8-24

Returns for furnishing information.

From, the Private Secretary to H.H. The Maharaja.

O.M. No. 637 dt 25.8.24.

forwards in connection for disposal, memorial from Gopala Bhatta re the conduct of worship in Sri Rama Temple at Ramanathapuram and Sri Rama Temple at Rameswaram.

The memorial is duly stamped.

Submit for orders as to whether A report with connected records AG 29.8.24 be called for from the Muzrai Dept

25.8

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561-GPB—3750—1 8 22

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mwz. File No. 57 of 1924

WD 2310—GPB—10,000—31 5 24

Serial No.

11

Dft Sentence

Alt

25.8.24

12

Appl another mercy

1.9.24

Rec. Secy

160/69 II Mercy

6.9.24

4 To the Mwzpal Commr. No. 193 dt. 11.9.24. Fwd the above for report with records.

5. From, The Mwz. Comr. Letter no. C. 170 dt 31-12-24. Forwards the report with records called for above. The facts of the case are noted in the Dy. Comrs. letter, copy of which accompanies the Mwz. Comrs communication may the appeal be posted for hearing? The Mwz Comr has not formed his opinion on the merits of the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. III,-2,

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 57 of 1924 D

Serial No.

A. A,

16 The complaint of the memorialists and Archak Hanumantachar is that contrary to long-established manual and previous records etc., The Dy. Comr., Shimoga, has ordered that Sameyas of Muddanahalli Anjaneya Swamy temple who are non-brahmans, should be placed in charge of the duties of "Deeparadhane and Alankara" to the mula Vig in the temple on the hillock to the north of Channagiri raha, and has brought his order into effect in spite of the protest of Dharmadarshi and has deprived the Brahman pujari of his hereditary hak etc. and [illegible] The Amildar reports that the records available and practice disclose that for a long time, Archaka Hanumantachar and his ancestors have been conducting Vidyapuja, Abhisheka Alankara to the mula Vigraha and looking after "Nandadeepa" being in possession of S. nos 1, 13 & 56 etc and also to do Special Abhisekam on Hanuma. Jayanti day and that Swamiar

Reg. Wills F.J (No. 376 Dy 19.3.23)

(vide also Pro 7 the meeting of Dharmadarshi) - H.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Serial No.

have been looking after the temple building, alankara of the in a temple in the village Utsava Vigraha, carrying &c. &c. in the evening & the devotees in the absence of the Archaks.

In G.O. no. 1339 dt. 2-3-1909 relating to the affairs of this temple it is mentioned that S. no. 1 refers to is for "Vanda Deepa and Alankara" which duty, it is reported, has to be performed by Sameyas of the temple, and that the land is in the possession of the Brahman Pujari. In the matter of the Dy. Comr's. recommendation to place the said land in the possession of the Sameyas. The first observed that the Brahman Pujari should be heard before he is deprived of the land. In his letter to the Dy. Muz. Comr. the Dy. Comr. stated

NOTE—Contn. sheet

MVD 0561—GPB—3750—1-8-22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 57 of 1926

Serial No.

that this duty of Nanda Deepa and Alankara has undoubtedly that of the Sumerayas ... as recognized in the first order of 1909 refd. to above.

9 In his memo dt 3-12-1923. The Dy. Comr has ordered that the petn. before him Viz the Sumerayas should be allowed to do their work of Deeparadhane and Alankara, at once, that the sale of land S.no. 1 should be cancelled and the land placed in possession of — Sumerayas.

10 In their Proc. dt 5-3-1924, the Dharmadarshi of the temple state that the Alankara, Nanda Deepa, Puja etc of Sri Prana Devaru is an ancient long-established manne, vested in the family of Archak Hanumanthachar who have been

vide also (D.C's order dt 30.8.23)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561, GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No.

enjoying S. no. 1 for a long time past, and protested against the Dy. Comrs. order. On 9th July 1924, the Dy. Comr. has observed that the Dharmadarsi have no sort of control over the appointment, that the Muktesar Swami has given effect to the orders passed by him instead of a report of non-compliance by the Dharmadarsis, that if the Brahman Archak is affected, it is open to him to approach the authorities for relief, and that the Muktesar Swami given effect to his order forthwith or his conduct will be reported to the higher authorities. The Archak and the villagers have appealed to Govt against the [illegible]

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Serial No. 93

It is reported that S. no. 1. is intended for "Vanda Deepa and "Alankara", and if directed, a copy of the detailed Inam register will be called for with a view to know the object of the grant, and also who appeared - whether the Brahman Archaks or Samarayas - at the time of the Inam settlements.

It is also reported by the Dharmadarsis that in the scale sanctioned by fasl., the duties of Alankara and Vanda Deepa etc. should be entrusted to the Archaks Hanumantachar who should enjoy S. no. 1 in dispute. This is a minor office and probably the Inam Comr. has sanctioned the scale. May the scale be called for from

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0361 - GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 57 of 1924.

Serial No.

The Muz. Comr.?

If directed, the case will be posted for hearing, notices being issued to the contending parties.

Submr. for orders.

AV 26 The Inam Regr bestowing 20.1.1925. to the survey no. 1 Magar called from the Inam right and the scale of Expn Secretd to the temple, from the Muz Comr. Since only after these are read that we should have full info: as to the possession of the land in question and the persons actually attending to the duties regd to in the temple.

Papers calling for these are send for info & orders.

RB 20.1.25 [illegible]

[illegible] 24/1/25

By [illegible] 22.1.25.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 -GPB—3750—1 8 22

Secretariat | Muz. File No. 57 of 1924.

Serial No.	
6	To the Muz. Commr. No. 1119 d. 26.1.25. Requests him to forward for repn the accts of income & expenditure connected for the said temple.
7	To the Inam Supdt. No. 1120 d. 26.1.25. Requests him to send up for repn an extract from the detailed Inam Register pertaining to the grant as Inam of S. No. 1 of Mendiamahalli village for the benefit of the above temple.
8.	From, the Inam Supdt Letter no 100 dt 4.2.1925: Forwards a copy of the Inam register pertaining to the grant of S no. 1 to Sri Prana Devaru temple at Mudamahalli, Channagiri Taluk. To the Muz. Comrs. report Called for in sl 6 may be awaited Submr. — A reminder will be sent early

AG 20.2.25. AG 23/2/25

WD-0561-GPB-5750-1 8 22

Dy. Revenue Secretary. 24.2.25. 24/2/25

NOTE—Contn sheet

1687 12

Muzrai Secretariat	Muz. File No. 57 of 192 5

Serial No

9. From, The Muzrai Commr
Letter no. C. 170 dt 17.4.25.

Forwards the file of papers containing the scale sanctioned for this temple.

34 It is mentioned that S. no. 1, 13 and 56 of [illegible] are in the [illegible] of Anekal Hanumantha [illegible] whose [illegible] to [illegible] [illegible], [illegible] the [illegible]

35 X ✓ it is Muz Sheristedar's note on which much reliance cannot be placed.

36 If directed, the appeal will be posted for hearing notice being issued.

[illegible]

37 Submitted

AV 17 [illegible]

[illegible] 19.5.25

38 There is the scale (2) – please pass it, also [illegible] of this [illegible] 30-5-25. [illegible]

39

[illegible] 9/5/25 [illegible] Call for [illegible] [illegible] this [illegible] statement

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 6361—GPB—3750—1-9-22

A J 30/5

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 57 of 1924

Serial No

W
W — Submitd.
W 7.5.25 7/5/25.
Issued
W 7.5.25.

10 To the Typist. Revenue Secretary. Exhibit
9.5.25. Requests him to send us two copies
of the P.F. Petition done.

11 From the Asst. Commr.
Letter no 129 D 21.5.25.
Submits a copy of the
Statement filed during the
Inam Settlement.

W It is clear from the
Statement that the Archaka
were Brahmans Hanumantacha
Narasimhachar, Sopannachar
and Bhagavantachar who
were enjoying the income
from the inam land &
entitled for Vanda Dipa
and were conducting the

WD 0361—GPB—3750—1 8 22

NOTE—Contn sheet

1784

15

Muz. File No. 57 of 1926

Serial No.

Service themselves.

By may the case be posted for hearing

Submitted for order.

27.5.28 15/28

By The case may be posted for hearing.

26.5.28.

By) 1st member)

610/265

Which is the order of the D.C. against which now under appeal. It may be pointed out that the appellants given a hearing before the D.C. or an opportunity to make representations to him before the order appealed from was passed. This may be noted for the information of the Board.

27.5.28

27.5.28

A.R. 28/5

The Dy. Comrs. order now under appeal is dated 30-8-1928, and is stayed by

NOTE—Contn sheet

1789

File No. 57 of 1924

16

Serial No.

Igs P&O:

The appellants were heard by the Dy. Comr. Submr. 8/6/25
M. 2.6.25. 26/25
3/6/25.

4/4/6

(2 members)

Case may be posted for hearing & Sentence on 29th August when parties given notice

6/7
7/7
7/7/25.

Dy. notice, hearing
M 7/11/25
Issue
11/7/25

The case was heard on 29th August 1925, the parties being present in person and represented by pleaders

Mysore

ORDER.

Both the parties were present.

Mr. H.V. Narayana Rao appeared on behalf of the appellant and Mr. G. Paramasiviah on behalf of the respondents.

On behalf of the appellant, it was represented that on the strength of the Deputy Commissioner's orddr. he has been deprived of the poojaship of the temple as well as the possession of Inam survey No. 1 of which he had been long in possession.

A perusal of the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 30th August 1923 in the file goes to show that he has not said anything about the appellants being deprived of their pooja right but has only declared the respondents to be entitled to do the duties of "Deeparadhane" (which evidently is a mistake for "Nanda-deepa")and "Alankara" in the temple and to be put in possession of survey No.1 which has been assigned as Inam for these services. It is not understood how, in the circumstances the appellants could have been in any way affected by the Deputy Commissioner's order with regard to their pooja right in the temple. The Deputy Commissioner's order should be taken as recognising the respondents' rights to perform the "Nanda-deepa" and "Alankara" services and enjoy the Inam land assigned therefor and not to confer on them any right to interfere with the pooja in the temple. To this extent, the Deputy Commissioner's order, which is based on the Inam Settlement Register as well as the Govt. Order No.(339/Mys145-07 dated 24-3-1909), was quite a proper one and Government see no reason to interfere with it.

D/ 31.8.25 29/8/25

File No. 57 of 19 25.

Serial No.	
	Dft. under Submr.
	AV 7.9.25 7.9.25
	Done The dmp2 must be pkd.
	7.9.25.
	Typed Dft Submr
	AV 10.9.25 10/9/25
	Done
	11.9.25.
12	To. Inter Commr. No 3218 14.9.25 forwards Copy of the order - Confirming the order of the Dy Commr. in so far as it relates to the Conduct of Nandadeepa & Alankara Services in the temple by the Samarayos as well as the Payment by them of the inam intended therefor
13	

File No. of 19 .

Serial No.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

No. 298

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore 21.7. 1924

Mem. 104-24-7.

GEN. & REV. SECRET
22 JUN 1924
MUZRAI

Muz.
22 JUL 1924.

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... Revenue ..... Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

By Order,

No. 64 of 1925

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muzrai 16)

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮಂ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. 70 Cutcherry 14th July '17
Rev 57-24

ತಹಸೀಲ್ದಾರ್ — ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸಂ 1924—1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಆಫೀಸ್ ತಿ ನೆ ನಂಬರು

ಅಚ್ಚುಕಟ್ಟು ಗ್ರಾಮಂತರ ಕಾರ್ಯ, ಕೆಲಸ್ಗಳವ್ರು-
ಸಣ್ಣಿಗೆ — ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮೋರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಾದವರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮೇರಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

Pl send
539

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ 192 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

For Secretary.
Rev Dept

WD 0575—GPB—100—9 8 22

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯು
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯವೆರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್.

ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್‌ಗೆ ಬರೆಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸನ್ 191—191 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲ್ ನೆ ನಂಬರು

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮೊರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮೂದಿದಾರ

ಹೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮೇತಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಅಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್

ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮಾಹ 191 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

Secretary to Government

GBB—500—13 6-13

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

19[illegible]—19[illegible] ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು.....೨..... ...

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

[illegible]

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

[illegible]

ವಿಷಯ.

[illegible]

ಮೇಲೆಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲುವಾದಿ [illegible]

ನೀವು [illegible] ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ ಮೇಲೆ ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿದ 19[illegible] ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ನೇ ಮೇರುಕುಮಿನ ಅಪೀಲು ಅರ್ಜಿಯು 19[illegible] ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಬಳು ದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನ 12 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀವು ಈ ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗ ಬೇಕು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೇ ಮೊಹೆ 191

ನೇ ಇಸವಿ, ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ ಬಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

[illegible]
Secretary to Government,
[illegible] Rev. Departments.

(PL)—1 000 2.7 11

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
29 AUG 1925
MUZRAI
D No. 269

In the

TWO RUPE

In the Court of the Muzaraye ~~Com~~ Secretary, Govt. of Mysore. in Bangalore

Muzarai Appeal Case No. 3 of 1924-1925.

Appellant, ~~Plaintiff~~

Hanumanthachiar

Respondent, ~~Defendant~~

Krishnaiya & Another.

Vs.

1. We ...the... Respondents ...above named do, by these presents retain Mr. G. Paramaswaiya ...Advocate to appear in and conduct the above case on ...our... behalf to judgement.

2. ...We... further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in ...our... behalf and to receive from Court such sums of money as ...we... may be entitled to get and to grant receipts therefor.

3. ...We... also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said Advocate in so doing.

Signed before me and personally known to me.

29/8/25.

Advocate for Respondents

Bangalore Date 29 8 25

Accepted.

G Paramaswamy.

Advocate.

The S. G. N. Press B—City

Bombay Bazaar, Chickpet, Bangalore City.

In ~~the Court of the~~ Before the Government of Mysore

at Bangalore

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT
2 NOV 1925
D No. 277

Misc. 3 of 1924-1925

Appellant, Plaintiff, Petitioner,		*Respondent, Defendant, Opponent,*
C. Hanumantha Char	vs	H. Channabasappa

The Appellant above named do by these presents, retain

Mr. H. V. NARAYANA RAO. B. A., LL. B.,
Advocate, High Court, Bombay and Chief Court, Mysore,

to appear and conduct the above case on my behalf to Judgment.

I further empower him to execute any decree or order that may be passed in my behalf and to receive from the Court such sums of money as I may be entitled to get and grant receipts therefor.

Also undertake to confirm and ratify all the legal acts done by the said Advocate or by his proxy in so doing.

ಅಪ್ಪ ಚಂದ್ರಪ್ಪ ಸಿ —

Presonally known to me and signed in my presence
H.V.
29/8/25.

Accepted.
H. V. Narayana Rao
Counsel for Appt

ನೋಟಿಸ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1924—1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು..... 3 .....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ
ಮುದ್ದನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸದೇವರ
ಅರ್ಚಕತನದವಗಳಿಂತಾಕಿರ್.

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ
ಸ್ವಾಮೀಲಾಂಯ್ಯ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯ
ಮುಂತಾದವರುಗಳ್ಳು

ವಿಷಯ.
ಮುದ್ದನಹಳ್ಳಿ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸದೇವರ ವ್ಯಾಜ್ಯಾದತ್ತಿಕ
ತಾಕೀತು

ಮೇಲಿಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಸ್ವಾಮೀಲಾಂಯ್ಯ. ಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯ. ಮುಂತಾದವರುಗಳ

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ಅರ್ಚಕತನದವರುಮಂತಾಕಿರ್ಳು — ಸ್ಟೂ.

ನಿಮಗೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯುಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕ್ಕುಮ್ಮಿನ ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡಾಖ್ಯಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ
ಅಪೀಲು 1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ 27 ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೇಳನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ
ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನ 12 ಘಂಟೆಗೆ ನೀನು ಈ
ಕಚೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು 13 ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ — 1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ

By Order,

Secretary to Govt.
Muzrai Department.

WD 0574—GPB—250—9 8 22

7334

No. 400
1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Mysore 31-7- 1924.

Menz. 57-24-



Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is sferr to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the araja of Mysore, ...Revenue..... Department, Bangalore, for
proal

By Order,

No. 95 of 24-25

2. In the petitioners No. 226 dt. 18.8.24. transfer the above for being plan

For Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

DRAFT

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section.
File No
Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to. 105

No. 189/Revenue

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

Telegram

The accompanying ~~Petition~~ Regis-

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT,

( )

, *the* ..... 192 .

tered No 105 .. dated 19.7.24 addressed to H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore and received at the ~~Muzrai~~ Revenue Secretariat from one Hanuman thachar of Chennagiri complaining of the stoppage by the D.C. Shimoga of the Puja performed by Brahmins in the Sri Prasanna Venkata Ramana temple at Muddenahalli in the Davangere Taluk and stating that orders have been issued for the performance of the same by Non-Brahmin Archaks, and soliciting orders in the matter.

Date of issue 3-5-24

Signed by .....

's forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures~~, with Copy to the Minute to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore for disposal as proposed.

for .....

By Order,

Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.

P.T.O.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ್ ..... ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ

.... ಹೋಬಳಿ

.... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.... ಗೆ.

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಮೆಂಟ್ ಹುಕುಂ

ನಂಬರು ....

....

....

....

....

....

....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ ....

ಮುಕ್ಕುಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು .... ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.... ವರೆಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

By Order

Secretary to Government.
Muz Dept

Endorsement

With reference to his
dated 19th July 1924
of His Highness the Ele
of Mysore complaining about the
~~matter of the~~ Stoppage of Puja
in the Yeri Prasanna Ganapathi temple
at Muddenahalli which washer
performed by Brahmins and praying for
orders in the matter, Rao Saheb M. R. K
manthachar of Uttanagini is informed that this Telegram has been
forwarded to the Muzrai Commr,
for disposal and he is requested to
address his further communications to

List of enclosures

Nil

By Order,

Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Dept

Copied by... ....

Examined by..... ....

WD0587—GPB—1000—11 8 22

11424

No. 637 1-8

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 25-8 1924

D. no. 155
Misc. 106-24.

MM 73.5 1-25



Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ...Revenue..... Department, Bangalore, ~~for~~ in continuation of this office lr no 400 dated 31-7-24.

By Order,

Ijmar e of

for Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

25-8-24 No. 72. of 24-25

12760

No. 716/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 1-9-1924.

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is referred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department, Bangalore, for disposal

By Order,

No. 189 of 24-25

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

13991

775

9 - SEP. 1924

11 SEP 1924

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 8-9 1924. 308

Muz. 57-24-R.

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ... Revenue ..... Department, Bangalore, for disposal.

By Order,

No. 207 of 24-25

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the 192 .

Section Muz.

File No. 5 7-24.

Serial No. 40

No. 397.

Date of issue 11.9.24

Signed by for T. Ramaiya

To

The Muz Comr.

Sir,

In continuation of this office No 189 dated 7.8.24

I am directed to forward herewith four ~~Petitions~~ representations with records, memorials regd nos. 240 and 276, 308, addressed to H.H the Maharaja by Gopala Bhatta Certain others appearing against the [illegible]

over.

meeting. The removal of
the Brahman Pujari, and
the appointment of
Sanchayis to worship
the Mulavar of
Sri Prana Devaru
temple at Muddenahalli,
Channagiri Taluk.

I have etc.

Dy. Com. 10/8/49

Dy. Com. Rev. Secretary.

22.8.49

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

19331

No. 1487/1

OFFICE OF THE PRIVATE SECRETARY
TO HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,

Mysore 21.10.1924

22. OCT. 1924

Petition, as shown below, to His Highness the Maharaja is transferred to the Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ...Revenue..... .... Department, Bangalore, for disposal

By Order,

No. 380 of 24-25

Private Secretary
to H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 474

Section Muz.

File No. 57-14

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 610 Enc Nil

Date of issue 30th Oct 24

To The Mur Comr

Signed by T. Ramaiyer

Sir,

In contn of this office letter No. 397/Mus57-24 & 11.9.24 calling for a report with records regarding the removal of the Brahmin Pujaris from the Prasanna Devamma temple at Muddenhalli, I am directed to forward herewith the

over.

Issue 24.10.24.

Jay

3

Rec'd. No. 474 & 14. 10. 24

Memorial received from
the Brahman residents
of the Place & Bungalow
that the report called for
may be expedited.

Please see

24 10 24.

28/10/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2 500—27 7 22

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ____

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

Section Muzrai

File No. S7 – 24.

Serial No. 2.

No. 8 Sec

Date of issue 24-11-24

Signed by

To

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to this office letter No. 397/Muzrai S7-24-1 dt 11th Septr 24 and the subsequent reminder requesting you to send up a report with records ~~the~~ regarding the complaints about the ~~Dismissal Complaints of~~ Removal of the Archakaship ~~Conduct of worship of~~ pujari ~~Selection~~ in Kuddevanahalli Sri Papanadeswara temple and to request you to intimate ~~at what stage the matter stands~~ yours faithfully.

Seal & for approval

Jpme 24 26 Nov

When the Supdt. called for maghi Expenses

24/26/11/24

To The Muzrai Commr.

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563– GPB—2,500—27 7-22

25

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 29th December 1924.

40

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Bangalore.

2 JAN 25

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

REV. SECRETARIAT 3-JAN 1925 MUZRAI

Dno 1088

Muz.57-24-5.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.397/Muz.57-24-4 dated -- 11th September 1924 and subsequent reminders forwarding for report with records telegrams and memorials received from Hanumanthachar and Brahman residents of Channagiri appealing against the orders of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District, directing the removal of the Brahman Pujari from the Pranadevaru temple at Muddenahalli, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records (bearing on the subject together with the said appeal petition) received -- with the Deputy Commissioner's letter No.H.C.1239 of 16-17 dated - 19th December 1924 which gives the facts of the case and copy of which is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

Letter No.H.C.1239/Rev.16-17 dated 17/19th December 1924 from Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District to the Muzrai Commissioner Bore. 41

"In reply to your letter No.C.170 of 24-25 dated the 23rd October 1924 forwarding for report an appeal petition (A) from one Hanumanthachar of Chennagiri relating to the temple affairs of Sri Anjaneyaswami at - Muddenahalli in Chennagiri Taluk, I have the honor to state as follows.

As is evident from the records, the question of the possession of survey No.1 endowed to the temple and that of the puja affairs therein have been pending disposal these nearly thirty years (vide Flag F.Z) The parties were repeatedly petitioning the authorities for disposing of these disputed questions. The Government therfore, passed orders in the matter in the year 1909 (vide F.J.) leaving the Deputy Commissioner to settle the question of the possession of survey No.1.

When the correspondence about the matter was going on, a petition dated 18th July 1921, from Krishnaiya and Hanumanthaiya, the samerayas of the temple, was forwarded by the Muzrai ~~Dy~~ Superintendent to this -- office for disposal (vide F.I.). When another petition (D) was received in this office, on the 9th February 1923, (vide F.H.) I took it up as a Muzrai Miscellaneous Case, heard both the parties as is evident from the order sheet (vide F.W.) and the statements of the parties recorded (vide F.X.) confined myself to the first point of para 4 of the Government order quoted above, and after a careful study of the records and hearing the Prahmins Pujari, passed orders in the matter on 30th August 1923, placing the Samerayas in possession of survey No.1, (for details vide office notes and the orders thereon: F.M.).

One more petition (J) was received on 13th October 1923 (vide F.N.) from the Samerayas of the temple to the effect that they were not put in possession of the disputed piece of land on the plea that the right of cultivation thereof was already sold, that they were not allowed to perform the duties of Nandadeepa and Alankara in the temple as per Mamool etc., Records were called for again. This duty of Nandadeepa and alankara was undoubtedly that of the Samerayas as is borne out ~~of~~ by the records and as is recognised in the Government order quoted above. Several reports of the Amildar (P) of Chennagiri from time to time, vide Flags (R.S.T.U.V.) also go to show that the Samerayas have got the -- heriditary right for Alankara and Deeparadhane, and that they were --- temporarily dispossessed of this huk as there were some misunderstandi...

misunderstandings among the Samerayas themselves report dated the 8th April 1914: F.X.), and as the decree had been obtained against the hospital assistant Chinnasami Bhim Bhatta and others, referred to in the Government order quo above had not been fully discharged. The circumstances under which the pudawat funds of Sri Udamaradi Ranganatha swami temple in the same Taluk were used for the repairs of the Sri Muddenahalli Anjaneya Swami temple have been fully explained in the Government order (F.J.) The Sub Division Officer, approving of the recommendation of the Amildar not to allow the Samarayas to perform the duties until the whole amount is paid, passed orders dated 27th July 1915 (FGL). Survey No.1 is avowedly meant for the performance of the above mentioned -- functions. It is also clear from the reports of the Amildars (vide F.3.and T) that a sort of usurpation had taken place with regard to the possession of survey No.1 by one Bheem Bhatt, a powerful casteman and ancestor of the present appellant. The decision of the possession of the survey No.1 which is specifically meant for the performance of Alankara and Nanda Deepa was ipsofacto a decision -- about the huk for the performance of the above-mentioned functions as well. One is the obvious corollary of the other. I therefore passed orders on 1st December 1923 cancelling the sale of the land, as the procedure was irregular when the matter was subjudice, and allowing the petitioner to do their duty as per mamool, (for details vide. office note and the other there-on: Flag O.)

I may mention in this connection, that steps have been taken for the recovery of the amount due from the Samerayas as can be found out by the order passed by me on 30th August 1923 (Flag M)

To proceed further, a petition was once again received on 15th April 1924 to the effect that the orders of this office were not given effect to by the Taluk Authorities (vide Flag P). I had therefore to send for the records again and pass orders on 8th July 1924 (for -- details, vide office note and the orders thereon Flag Q.)

The appellant, instead of approaching me or the Government which was the appellante authority for remedy if he felt that any ~~influstice~~ injustice had been caused to him, appears to have influenced the Dharmadarsis to protest against the orders of this office and not give effect to the same. It is also certain that the local officers made these ------

Dharmadarsis a sort of cover to plead their inability for ---- giving effect to the order. It is needless to point out that the -- action of the Dharmadarsis in assuming the role of appellate authority over the Deputy Commissioner, is to say the least, most cheeky and illegal. It was only after strict orders were issued concerning the indifference and inactivity of the local authorities and pointing out the illegality in the procedure of the Dharmadarsis that an agitation was started first by sending wires threatening the immiment danger to public peace etc., and when it was found out that this was a mere bluff and that it would not influence the authorities to waver, the present appeal has been filed before the Government.

As for the performance of these functions, by the non-brahmin Samerayas in the temple dedicated to Anjaneya Devaru, numerous instances may be quoted for instance, it is Sameraya who not only does Alankara and Deepa but also worships the idol in the Important temple of ---- Bammaghatta in the Molkalmuru Taluk. The Brahmin pujari worships Rama Devaru, accompanies the procession, and recites Raj Asheervada at the end. At Shikarpur and Kundur, Alankara and Nandadeepa are performed by the Samerayas. Such being the case, the present question is by no means, a strange one. Here, I have only attempted to reiterate the facts and brought to speedy disposal a case which was pending for several years without in the least prejudicing the "Puja Huk" of the appellant.

I may also observe, by the way, that no breach of peace, as -- apprehended in your letter No.P-C.24/24-25 dated 21st July 1924, has ever taken place and no information in the matter, has reached me --- hitherto.

The entire records, bearing on the subject, are herewith submitted for favour of perusal and return, along with the papers received from your office."

"Copy"

for Muzrai Commissioner. 30/12/24.

KSR.

SUBJECT.....

2 Dis

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Mevz.
File No. 57-24.
Serial No. 6.

Muzrai Secretariat
( )
, *the* 192 .

No. 1119

Date of issue 26.1.25

To The Asst. Comr.

Signed by Jm T.R.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. C.170 dt 31.12.24 reporting on the petitions from Hanumanthachar and others Brahmin residents of Channagiri, appealing against the Shringa Dy Comr's. order directing the removal of the Brahmin Pujari from the Prasanna Devaru temple at Huvvina Channagiri Taluq,

over.

directed to report
that you be so good
as to forward for reference
the scale of charges
and offer sanctioned for
the said temple

(2) the records connected
with the case, are retained
in this office.

I have &c

W
for Dep Regr Registering

20/1/25

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27-7-22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT..... ....

... .

... .

2 Dft

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz.
File No. 57-24.
Serial No. 7.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, the *192* .

No. 1120

Date of issue 26.1.25

Signed by Mr T R

To

The Inam Supdt

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be so kind as to send up for reference at a very early date, an extract from the detailed Inam register pertaining to the Inamdars of S. no. 1 of Muddanahalli village, Channagiri Taluk for the benefit of Sri Rama Devaru etc.

Cover.

at 27 The place.

I have etc.

NSP
25/8/21 Res. Secretary.

8/8
20/8/21

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

one 33473

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,

Dated, Bangalore 2nd February 1925.

4.

R. Dno. D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey.



Dno 1294

To

V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the
Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Muz. 57-24-8.

Sir,

As called for in your letter No.1120/Muz.57-24-7 dated 26-1-1925, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of Inam Register pertaining to the grant of Survey No. 1 of Muddenahalli village, Channagiri Taluk, to Sri Pranadevaru temple at the place.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Anantaraman 6/2

for Superintendent.

on casual leave.

LSR.

GPB—75 books—7-5

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

Register sheet No.... .. 158 ... .

Taluk ....Channagiri.....

Sammat....: Channagiri ... .

Village.....Kudaemahalu

GPB—75 books—7-5-14

Register of Inams in the village of Muddenaha

CLASS, EXTENT AND VALUE O

Number of case or entire holding under one grant in this village	General class to which the Inam belongs	Survey No and name of field or fields comprised in the grant Dry, wet or garden	Extent	
			Local measure. Even where the local measure is in acres, guntas and annas, it is to be entered in this column	Measure in acres and their fractions in decimals
1	2	3	4	5
3.	Devadaya	Dry No 39	Cluttas 29 ack = 2 88	

Summat of Channagiri in the Taluk of Channagiry

		DESCRIPTION, TENURE AND	
Old assessment	Survey assessment	Description of Inam If for service, it is to be stated whether the service is continued If for tanks, buildings, etc., whether they are efficiently kept up Hereditary or for life	Whether free of tax, etc. or liable to quit-rent, *i. e.* jodi, etc., amount of latter to be entered
Where no survey has been made and no assessment fixed by Government, the cess paid by the raiyats to the Inamdar, or the average assessment of similar Government land, should be entered in column 7			
6	7	8	9
10 - 15 - 5 Revised 5-0-7 As per Col. 19		For Nandadeepam and for Alankara of Hanumanthadevaru of Muddenahally. Devasthana in good order and services rendered	Go-dy 4-7-1

Shimoga.

NAME AND RELATIONSHIP OF ORIGINAL GRANTEE AND

Name of original grantee	Name entered in the register prepared by Purniah up to 1810	Name entered in the accounts subsequent to 1810
12	13	14
		1848 Hanumanthadevaru 29 Jum. Berry 11 3/4 " Jum
		Chittas 1856-68 Same 29 ack. B. 10-15-5 J 4-7-1

OF SUBSEQUENT AND PRESENT HOLDERS

Particulars regarding present owner. If the inam has been subdivided, the name, etc, of each sharer should be entered in the columns

Residence, name and age, etc.	Relation to original grantee or subsequent registered holders	Surviving heirs of the present incumbent	If unconnected with the original holders, possession how obtained, and in what year
15	16	17	18
Muddenahalli Hanumanthadevar inam is enjoyed by Hanumanthā, Thimmiah and Kariamanah who conduct Services.			

LENGTH OF POSSESSION

Abstract of the orders already issued by the Commissioner or the Superintendent of the Division on lapses regarding validity or excess of the Inam Assistant's opinion and recommendation	Review by the Special Assistant and confirmation by the Inam Commissioner
19	20
Inam is mentioned in the Choramanya register and the claim is allowable The ~~Assistant~~ Asst is excessive considering the quantity of land enjoyed under the provisions of para 18 of proceedings No 9 of 1868, it is proposed to be reduced 2 8 8 at 1-12 : 5-0-7 To be confirmed subject to present Jodi 4-7-1 1/8 quit-rent 0-1-2 4-8-3 Or Rs 4-8-0 So Long as Services are efficiently performed (Sd) Narasimhayengar Assistant 30-5-69	Confirmed, subject to combined quit-rent of Rs 4-8-0 compulsory (Sd) C.S. Blair Off. Inam Commissioner 19-2-70 "Copy" M Krishnaswami 6/3/15 for Superintendent. Comps No.

C 170/27-37 on file

Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 16th April 1925

174

From

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esq., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.



Dr. 15/4

Muz 57-24-9

To

V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

17/4/25

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.1119/Muz.57-24-6 dated 26th January 1925 requesting the forwardal of the scale of income and --- expenditure sanctioned in the temple of Sri Prana Devaru at Muddenahalli, Channagiri Taluk, I have the honor to submit the file containing the sanctioned scale of the temple received with the Shimoga Deputy Commissioner's letter No.A.C.1239/Rev.16-17 dated the 13th instant.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

C S Balasundaram Iyer

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

GENERAL AND REVENUE
SECRETARIAT

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

To, The Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey.

Sir,

Adverting to your letter no. 100 dy 4th July. 1925 forwarding a copy of Inam Register Sheet no. 158 pertaining to the grant of S. no. 10 of Muddanahalli Village, Channagiri Taluq & Sri Prana Devara temple at the place, I am directed to request that you will be so good as to send up for reference, copies of the statements if any, filed during the Inam settlement of the ~~said~~ said Inam.

I have the

for Rec. Secretary.

11-7-25

47551

No. 129
Enc. one

Office of the Superintendent,
Mysore Revenue Survey,
Dated, Bangalore 18th May 1925.

From

D. Srinivasa Iyengar, Esq., B.A.,

Superintendent, Mysore Revenue Survey and Settlements.

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. Muz 21 MAY 1925 To G. D SECTION.

GEN. & REV. SECTT. 22MAY1925 MUZRAI

Dr. 1789.
Muzrai 57-24-11.

To

V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,

Secretary to the Government of H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, Revenue Department.

Sir,

As called for in your letter No.1618 Muz 57/24-10 dated 9-5-1925, I have the honor to forward herewith a copy of statement filed at the Inam Settlement by the pujaris of Sri Prana Devaru temple, Muddenahalli village, Channagiri Taluk.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D Srinivasa Iyengar

Superintendent.

LSR.

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Appeal No. 3 of 1924-25

Appellant.	Vs	Respondent
Archak Hanumanthachar		Hanumantiah, Thimmiah and others.

Subject.

Appeal against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District dated the 30th August ~~1923~~ 1923 in the matter of the restoration of Inam Survey No. 1 of Muddanahalli village, Channagiri Taluk intended for Nanda-Deepa and Alankara service in the Sri ~~Ramanamma~~ Prana Devaru temple at the place.

~~Order No.~~ ~~dated~~

The case came on for hearing on 29th August 1925. Both the ~~par~~ parties were present, Mr. H.V. Narayana Rao having appeared on behalf of the appellant and Mr. G. Paramasivaiah on behalf of the respondents.

On behalf of the appellant, it was represented that on the strength of the Deputy Commissioner's order, he has been deprived of the pooja-ship of the temple as well as the possession of Inam Survey No. 1 of w which he had been long in possession.

A perusal of the Deputy Commissioner's order dated 30th August 1923 ~~1925~~ in the file goes to show that he has not said anything about the appellants being deprived of their pooja right but has only declared

the respondents to be entitled to do the duties of "Deeparadhane" (which evidently is a mistake for "Nanda-deepa") and "Alankara" in the temple and to be put in possession of Survey No. 1 which has been assigned as I for these services. It is not understood how, in the circumstances, th appellants could have been in any way affected by the Deputy Commissione order with regard to their pooja right in the temple. The Deputy Commissioner's order should be taken only as recognising the respondents' rights to perform the "Nanda-deepa" and "Alankara" services and enjoy the Inam land assigned therefor and not to confer on them any right to interfere with the Pooja in the temple. To this extent, the Deputy Commissioner's order, which is based on the Inam Settlement Register as wel as the Government Order No. 1339/Muz.145-07 dated the 24th March 1909, was quite a proper one, and Government see no reason to interfere with it.

Memo No. 321 dated, Bangalore, the 14th September 1925.
Mar 5 i-24-12 Encls temp

Copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore with the records received with his letter No. C. 170 dated the 17th April 1925.

By order,

Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Draft

10.9.25